

ARTICLE

DOI:10.14232/abs.2023.1.75-86

Efficacy of some plant resistance inducers against several sunflower downy mildew (*Plasmopara halstedii* (Farl.) Berl. *et* de Toni) isolates

Ahmed Ibrahim Alrashid Yousif^{1,2,*}, Alaa Almuslimawi^{1,3}, György Turóczi¹, József Kiss¹, Attila Kovács⁴, Katalin Körösi¹

¹Department of Integrated Plant Protection, Plant Protection Institute, The Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences (MATE), 2100 Gödöllő, Hungary.

²Department of Plant Protection, Omdurman Islamic University (OIU), Alfetehab, Omdurman, Sudan.
³Al-Qasim Green University, College of Agriculture, Al Kifil, 51011 Babil, Iraq
⁴Syngenta, Budapest, Hungary

ABSTRACT Plasmopara halstedii (Farl.) Berl. et de Toni is the oomycete that causes sunflower downy mildew (SDM). Traditional means of controlling this pathogen are using resistant hybrids, crop rotation and seed coating with fungicides. Disease control strategies that use a variety of approaches are becoming an increasingly essential aspect of pest management strategies. We conducted this exploratory investigation to evaluate whether specific plant resistance inducers might work against P. halstedii. In this study we used azadirachtin (AZA) a botanical insecticide; benzothiadiazole (BTH) and Trichoderma asperellum. Three-day-old susceptible sunflower seedlings were pre-treated with different doses of inducers for two hours. The seedlings were immediately inoculated of 7 different pathotype of *P. halstedii*. As a control, metalaxyl-M a systemic fungicide was used. Nine-day-old sunflower plant leaves were spraved with bidistilled water to stimulate sporangial growth. In vivo experiments showed that BTH, T. asperellum and the highest doses of AZA significantly reduced downy mildew symptoms. The various pathotypes of the pathogen significantly affected the plant height and disease symptoms under experiments. Acta Biol Szeged 67(1):75-86 (2023)

KEY WORDS

azadirachtin benzothiadiazole *Plasmopara halstedii* plant resistance inducers sunflower *Trichoderma asperellum*

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Submitted 02 November 2023 Accepted 11 December 2023 *Corresponding author E-mail: ahmadalrashed45@gmail.com

Introduction

The sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the most essential oil crops globally. The total harvested area forecast is more than 28 million hectares for 2023, with 56 million metric tons yield (National Sunflower Association 2023). The biotroph oomycete, *P. halstedii* (Farl.) Berl. *et* de Toni, is the causal agent of sunflower downy mildew. According to earlier estimates, the global impact of downy mildew on yield is 3.5% of commercial seed production when current control measures are used. However, in fields with high disease infection, the yield loss might reach 30-50 or even 100% (Arribas 2014; Debaeke et al. 2014).

P. halstedii is typically found in soil and seeds (Jocić et al. 2010). It can survive in the soil for up to 10 years with its persistent reproductive structures (oospores) (Tourvieille de Labrouhe et al. 2008). At the same time, its mycelium, which is embedded in the seed, allows it to spread over considerable distances (Ban et al. 2023).

Because of the latter, there is a high risk of spreading different pathotypes (virulence phenotypes or pathotypes) of the pathogen. Approximately 50 pathotypes of *P. halstedii* have been identified globally (Bán et al. 2021). Although sunflower hybrids are cultivated that are resistant to all pathotypes of *P. halstedii*, the high variability of the pathogen requires continuous and intensive breeding efforts (Vear 2016).

Once symptoms of sunflower downy mildew appear, there is no effective control against the pathogen. Besides planting resistant sunflower hybrids, seed coating with fungicides is among the most efficient control measures for downy mildew (Bán et al. 2023). Previously, mefenoxam, a phenyl amide active ingredient, provided efficient control against the disease for a long time (Albourie et al. 1998). However, *P. halstedii* rapidly developed mefenoxamtolerant genotypes in several countries (Albourie et al. 1998; Gulya 2000; Iwebor et al. 2022; Körösi et al. 2020; Molinero-Ruiz et al. 2003). The introduction of new fungicides, therefore, is crucial for effectively managing downy mildew in sunflowers (Cohen et al. 2019).

In addition to chemical control, alternative methods are essential elements of integrated pest management (Barzman et al. 2015). There is a growing body of research on the use of plant activators, such as chemical inducers (e.g., benzothiadiazole), botanical pesticides (e.g., azadirachtin), and biocontrol agents (e.g., Trichoderma spp.) for disease control (Bán et al. 2023; Doshi et al. 2020; Tarigan et al. 2022). One of the underlying processes is induced resistance, whereby a prior treatment with resistance inducers or inoculation with a non-aggressive, or avirulent pathogen can cause the susceptible plant to respond relatively quickly to a subsequent attack (Vallad and Goodman (2004); Walters et al. 2013; Kamle et al. 2020; Yassin et al. 2021). Systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR) are the two types of induced resistance (Kamle et al. 2020). Chemical inducers and necrotroph pathogens may activate the SAR, while ISR is triggered due to plant growth-promoting microorganisms colonizing plant roots (Spoel and Dong 2012). At the cellular level, the accumulation of lignin, callose, phenols, and other antimicrobial compounds can be detected in susceptible induced plants, which finally leads to the development of resistance (Basavaraj et al. 2019).

BTH (also known as benzo [1,2,3] thiadiazole-7-carbothioic acid-S-methyl ester) is one of the longest-used chemical inducers in research and has been adopted in practice (Walters et al. 2013; Yassin et al. 2021). It has also proven efficient against sunflower downy mildew in various trials (Bán et al. 2004; Körösi et al. 2011; Tosi and Zazzerini 2000). However, only a few *P. halstedii* isolates were examined in these studies that were dominant in the early 2000s but are now considered less aggressive.

Unlike chemical inducers, botanical pesticides have been applied for thousands of years (Ngegba et al. 2022) They are now an element of the growing importance of integrated pest management (Ngegba et al. 2022). Of these, an extract of the neem tree (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss), contains more than 140 biological active components. such as azadirone, azadirachtin, flavonoids etc., with AZA the most efficient active ingredient, is effective against several plant diseases (Kumar et al. 2020; Adusei and Azupio 2022). Preliminary studies showed that neem leaf extract and AZA performed well against an aggressive isolate of *P. halstedii* (Doshi et al. 2020).

Besides chemical inducers and botanical pesticides, plant growth-promoting fungi (PGPF) have been used in various host-pathogen systems to elicit systemic induced resistance in crops (Hossain et al. 2017). *Trichoderma* spp. are the most commonly used PGPFs as biocontrol agents (Guzmán-Guzmán et al. 2023). These fungi directly attack pathogens in the soil or induce resistance by upregulating host defenses (Zin and Badaluddin 2020). The secondary metabolites of *Trichoderma* species activate the host system's defense response by stimulating the accumulation of enzymes, secondary metabolites, and signaling molecules such as jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) (Nawrocka and Małolepsza 2013; Waqas et al. 2014). Moreover, seed coating with *T. harzianum* has been proven effective at controlling *P. halstedii* under greenhouse and field conditions (Nagaraju et al. 2012; Özer et al. 2023).

As indicated in the above works, few results exist on the effect and efficacy of chemical inducers, botanical pesticides, and *Trichoderma* spp. against sunflower downy mildew, though primarily a single isolate of *P. halstedii* was tested. The goal of this study, therefore, was to assess the efficacy of a chemical inducer (BTH), a botanical pesticide (AZA), and seed treatment with *T. asperellum* against seven isolates of sunflower downy mildew, differing in virulence and aggressiveness.

Materials and methods

Plant and pathogen materials

Seven compatible host-pathogen combinations were examined using with one sunflower genotype (cv. *Iregi szürke csíkos*) and seven *P. halstedii* isolates. *Iregi szürke csíkos* is a Hungarian open-pollinated sunflower cultivar with no dominant resistance genes (*Pl* genes) against sunflower downy mildew.

P. halstedii isolates originated from the collection of the Department of Integrated Plant Protection (Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, MATE). Previously, *P. halstedii* isolates were collected from sunflower hybrids with the *Pl*6 resistance gene against sunflower downy mildew between 2014 and 2019. Isolates were stored in a deep freezer at -70 °C. The sign and source of the isolates are displayed in Table 1.

Treatment of sunflower seedlings with inducers and inoculation by P. halstedii

Sunflower seeds were germinated before treatment and inoculation with *P. halstedii* (except for mefenoxam treatment and the first *Trichoderma* spp. treatment, see below). For germination, the seeds were soaked in a 1.5% NaOCl solution for 3 min, rinsed in tap water, wrapped in moist filter paper, and kept in the dark at 21 °C for three days. Then, the three-day-old sunflower seedlings were treated with the examined inducers, such as BTH and AZA. Seedlings were soaked in an aqueous solution of BTH (20, 40, and 80 ppm) using the chemical inducer Bion 50 WG (Syngenta-Hungary) for 2 h. NeemAzal T/S (Trifolio-M; Germany) was used as a botanical pesticide with concentrations of 0.01, 0.1, and 0.2% AZA with a similar treatment period to BTH.

Isolate code	Location	Collection year	CVF of the isolate*
11	Abony	2014	704
12	Körösladány	2014	710
13	Doboz	2014	704
14	unknown	unknown	700
15	Csanytelek	2014	730
16	Tiszafüred	2014	730
17	Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén County	2019	704
17	Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén County	2019	704

Table 1. List and characterization of *Plasmopara halstedii* isolates collected in different regions of Hungary.

*CVF: coded virulence formula (virulence phenotype)

control by coating the ungerminated seeds with Apron XL 350 FS (350 g/l mefenoxam; Syngenta, Switzerland) according to the EU-registered rate of 3 mg/kg seeds. Mefenoxam-treated seeds were coated homogeneously and kept at 24 °C for three days for drying.

For *Trichoderma* spp. treatment, seven-day-old *T. asperellum* cultured on PDA was flooded with 10-15 ml of bidistilled water, and conidia were removed by shaking or using a sterile brush under aseptic conditions. The concentration of the conidial suspension was adjusted to $3 \ge 10^7$ or $3 \ge 10^8$ conidia/ml using a hemocytometer. Gum arabic (5%) was added to the suspension to aid conidia in adhering to seeds. *Trichoderma* spp.-treated seeds were incubated at 25 °C for 3 h before placing into a growth chamber at 19 °C for three days for germination. After germination, the seedlings were re-treated with *T. asperellum* (with one of the above concentrations) before inoculation with various *P. halstedii* isolates.

Inoculation of seedlings by *P. halstedii* was carried out by the whole seedling immersion (WSI) method (Cohen and Sackston 1973; Sedlářová et al. 2016). Using a hemocytometer, the concentration of the inoculum was adjusted to $5 \ge 10^4$ sporangia/ml. Inoculation was carried out at 16 °C overnight.

Experimental setup

The experiment was conducted at the Department of Integrated Plant Protection (Plant Protection Institute, MATE, Gödöllő, Hungary), and the following treatments were included:

- Seeds immersed in bidistilled water (non-treated, non-inoculated)
- Seeds inoculated with *P. halstedii* (non-treated, in-oculated)
- Seeds treated with 20 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH20) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 20 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH20) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*

- Seeds treated with 40 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH40) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 40 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH40) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 80 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH80) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 80 ppm benzothiadiazole (BTH80) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 0.01% AZA (AZA0.01) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 0.01% AZA (AZA0.01) (non-in-oculated)
- Seeds treated with 0.1% AZA (AZA0.1) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 0.1% AZA (AZA0.1) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 0.2% AZA (AZA0.2) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 0.2% AZA (AZA0.2) (non-inoc-ulated)
- Seeds treated with 3 mg/kg mefenoxam (MX) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 3 mg/kg mefenoxam (MX) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 3 x 10⁷ conidia/ml of *T. asperellum* (T 3 x 10⁷) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 3 x 10⁷ conidia/ml of *T. asperellum* (T 3 x 10⁷) (non-inoculated)
- Seeds treated with 3 x 10⁸ conidia/ml of *T. asperellum* (T 3 x 10⁸) and inoculated with *P. halstedii*
- Seeds treated with 3 x 10⁸ conidia/ml of *T. asperellum* (T 3 x 10⁸) (non-inoculated)

Pretreated and/or inoculated sunflower seedlings (25 plants/treatment) were sown in pots (d = 5 cm, five plants per pot) filled with horticultural perlite (d = 0 - 4 mm) and kept at 22 °C in a growth chamber (12 h photoperiod, light irradiance of 100 μ E·m⁻² s⁻¹). The plants were grown for 21 days. The trial was set up in a randomized block





Figure 1. Disease rate 1 (%) of *Plasmopara halstedii* inoculated sunflowers treated with different doses of benzothiadiazole (BTH, 20, 40 and 80 ppm), azadirachtin (AZA, 0.01, 0.1 and 0.2%), mefenoxam (MX, 3 mg/kg) and *Trichoderma asperellum* (3 x 10⁷ and 3 x 10⁸ conidia) at 10 dpi. Disease rate 1 (%) was determined by the ratio of diseased (sporulating or damped-off plants) and healthy plants. Bars represents standard deviations of five replicates. I1-I7: *Plasmopara halstedii* isolates (see in Table 1).

design with two repetitions per treatment; each repetition consisted of 25 plants per treatment.

Assessment of disease

Nine days after inoculation (9 dpi), seedlings were sprayed homogeneously with bidistilled water to induce sporulation and then covered with dark bags overnight (19 °C). Plants were evaluated twice during the examination period: first just after sporulation (10 dpi,), then at 21 dpi. Disease assessment was made according to the sporulating and damped-off plants at 10 dpi (Disease rate 1 (percentage)), and chlorotic and damped-off plants at 21 dpi (Disease rate 2 (percentage)), calculating the ratio of diseased and healthy plants each time. Plant height was measured twice during the experiment (at 10 and 21 dpi) as *P. halstedii* causes dwarfing on susceptible, non-treated sunflowers.

Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (one-way and two-way ANOVA) with a p-value of 0.05 for mean separation. Statistical analyses were carried out using the R statistical software.



Figure 2. Disease rate 2 (%) of *Plasmopara halstedii* inoculated sunflowers treated with different doses of benzothiadiazole (BTH, 20, 40 and 80 ppm), azadirachtin (AZA, 0.01, 0.1 and 0.2%), mefenoxam (MX, 3 mg/kg) and *Trichoderma asperellum* (3 x 10⁷ and 3 x 10⁸ conidia) at 21 dpi. Disease rate 2 (%) was determined by the ratio of diseased (chlorotic or damped-off plants) and healthy plants. Bars represents standard deviations of five replicates. I1-I7: *Plasmopara halstedii* isolates (see in Table 1).

Results

Effect of plant inducers on disease rates

Figure 1. shows the average disease rate 1 percentage (sporulation and damping off) of the several *P. halstedii* isolates on mefenoxam-treated and non-treated sunflowers. In mefenoxam-treated and *P. halstedii*-inoculated sunflower plants, five of the seven isolates generated relatively high disease rates (ranging from 12 to 100%) (Fig. 1). The I7 (*Borsod* pathotype 704), I2 (*Körösladány* pathotype 710), and I1 isolates had the highest infection rates (pathotype 704 from *Abony*). Mefenoxam was effective against I5 (pathotype 730 from *Csanytelek*) and I6 downy mildew isolates (pathotype 730 from *Tiszaforet*). In contrast, the

reduction in infection rate was observed in both isolates [I3 (*Doboz* pathotype 704) and I4 (pathotype 700)] (Fig. 1).

The lowest dose of BTH (20 ppm), was not significantly different in decreasing disease rate 1 on three *P. halstedii* isolates I2, I5, I7, however, it was significantly different against four isolates from high to low in the following order I1 > I3 > I6 > I4 (Fig. 1). The BTH 40 ppm, on the other hand, showed no significant difference in the isolate (I7) and provided a significant protection rate on the isolates I2 and I5. The BTH, 40 ppm was highly significant and had the lowest infection rate of the isolates I4, I1, I3 and I6 (Fig. 1). The highest dose of BTH (80 ppm), significantly reduced the infection rate of all isolates except isolate I7 (Fig. 1).

On all *P. halstedii* isolates, the lowest dose of botanical inducer azadirachtin 0.01% was not significantly different from untreated-inoculated plants (Fig. 1). Furthermore, AZA 0.1% behaved insignificantly on all isolates except for I1 and I6, which provided less significant protection (Fig. 1). On the other hand, the highest dose of AZA 0.2%, significantly reduced the infection rate of all isolates except two I5 and I7, which had significantly highest infection rates and moderate significant resistance to isolate I2 (Fig. 1). Furthermore, both doses of the biotic inducer *T. asperellum* were significant protection against all isolates and provided significant protection against isolate I2 (Fig. 1).

Figure 2. depicts the average percentage disease rate 2 (chlorosis and damping off) of different *P. halstedii* isolates on BTH, *T. asperellum*, AZA, and mefenoxam-treated and untreated sunflowers. In mefenoxam-treated and *P. halstedii*-inoculated sunflower plants, five of the seven isolates generated high disease rates ranging from 16 to 100% (Fig. 2). The infection rates were significantly higher with the I7, I2, I1 and I4. Mefenoxam-sensitive downy mildew isolates were identified I5 and I6. The infection rate in Isolate I3 was significantly lower (Fig. 2).

The lowest dose of BTH (20 ppm), performed less significantly on one *P. halstedii* isolate (I2) and provided significant protection against two isolates I3, I5. The protection was highly significant on four isolates I1, I4, I6 and I7 (Fig. 2). The BTH 40 ppm, on the other hand, was less significant on three isolates I2, I3 and I7. and demonstrated a highly significant protection rate against the isolate I4, I1, I5 and I6 which had the significantly lowest infection rate (Fig. 2). The infection rate of all isolates was significantly lower at the maximum dose of BTH (80 ppm) (Fig. 2).

Except for I1 and I5, the lowest dose of botanical inducer AZA 0.01% showed significantly lower protection rate on all *P. halstedii* isolates (Fig. 2). Furthermore, AZA 0.1% was not significantly effective on all isolates except I6, I3, I5 and I1, which provided significant protection in the following descending order I6 > I3 >I5 > I1 (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the highest dose of AZA (0.2%), significantly reduced the infection rate of all isolates except isolate (I7), which had significantly greater infection rates (Fig. 2). Furthermore, both doses of the biotic inducer *T. asperellum* were highly protective against all isolates and provided a less significant protection rate against isolate I2 (Fig. 2).

Effect of plant inducers on plant height

Due to the essential symptom of *P. halstedii*, which is stunting of the infected plant, plant height was measured twice throughout the investigations (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in height between non-inoculated,

mefenoxam-treated and non-inoculated, nontreated plants at any data collection point in any of the tests. In addition, the mefenoxam-treated and infected sunflowers I3, I4, I5, and I6 were significantly higher than the nontreatedinfected sunflowers (Fig. 3) during the initial assessment. Plant heights were considerably more significant for treated sunflowers inoculated with all *P. halstedii* isolates, except for I2, which was significantly shorter than nontreated and infected plants.

Plants inoculated with isolates I4, I5, I6, and I7 and treated with the lowest dose of chemical inducer BTH (20 ppm) were significantly shorter or equivalent to non-treated inoculated plants. Plants treated with BTH 20 ppm and inoculated with isolates I1, I2, and I3 were significantly higher than non-treated and inoculated plants. In the case of BTH 40 ppm, all treated and inoculated plants were significantly higher than non-treated inoculated plants infected with isolates I5, I6, and I7, which were not significantly different from nontreated and inoculated plants. The highest BTH dose of 80 ppm significantly reduced stunting signs in all plants treated and inoculated with all isolates except I6, which was not significantly different from non-treated inoculated plants (Fig. 3).

Otherwise, the smallest dose of the botanical fungicide AZA (AZA 0.01%) did not significantly affect plant stunting in any isolates (Fig. 3). The plants treated with 0.1% and inoculated with the isolates I1, I3, and I4 were not statistically significantly different from the non-treated and inoculated plants. In contrast, the other plants inoculated with isolates I2, I5, I6, and I7 were significantly higher than the untreated and inoculated plants (Fig. 3). Plants treated with the greatest dose of AZA (0.2%) and inoculated with all isolates were significantly higher than non-treated and inoculated plants (Fig. 3). Both concentrations of *T. asperellum* significantly reduced the symptoms of stunting in all plants treated with various P. halastedii isolates. However, compared to non-treated and inoculated plants, the higher concentration of T. asperellum T 3 x 10⁸ was the most significant in increasing plant height (Fig. 3).

A two-factor analysis of variance without repeated measures was conducted to test whether there was a difference between the groups of the independent variable isolate concerning the average of disease rate 1, disease rate 2 and the average of plant height and whether there was an interaction between the two variables isolate and treatment concerning the dependent variables. Results showed a significant difference between the two groups, with a significant difference at p < 0.001 and an interaction at p < 0.001 (Table 2).

The two-factor analysis of variance without repeated measures showed that there is a significant difference



Figure 3. Plant heights of *Plasmopara halstedii* inoculated sunflowers treated with different doses of benzothiadiazole (BTH, 20, 40 and 80 ppm), azadirachtin (AZA, 0.01, 0.1 and 0.2%), mefenoxam (MX, 3 mg/kg) and *Trichoderma asperellum* (3 x 10⁷ and 3 x 10⁸ conidia) at 10 and 21 dpi. Bars represents standard deviations of five replicates. I1-I7: *Plasmopara halstedii* isolates (see in Table 1).

between the groups of the isolates in relation to the dependent variable's average of sporulation and damping off (Disease 1) and chlorosis and damping off (Disease 2) at p < 0.001 and interaction between the two variables isolate and treatment concerning this averages. This difference was significantly higher than the difference between the two groups of the dependent variable treatment, which showed a significant difference in both variables at p < 0.001(Table 2).

Additionally, there was a significant difference in the interaction between isolate and treatment, with the two variables, isolates and treatments, having two significant differences at p < 0.001. The two-factor analysis of variance showed that there is a significant difference between the groups of Factor1 (isolates) and Factor 2 (treatments)

in relation to the dependent variable plant height at p < 0.001 and that there is an interaction between the two variables and the average of plant height (Table 2).

Finally, all biological and chemical inducers were significantly more effective than the other treatments at reducing disease symptoms (Disease 1, Disease 2, and plant height). Interestingly, AZA at greater concentrations proved very effective in lowering disease symptoms, however, at the lowest dosage it did not differ much from untreated diseased plants.

Table 2. The result of the two-factor ANOVA.

Disease 1	Type III Sum of squares	df	Mean squares	F	р
Corrected Model	168.79	69	2.45	15.6	<.001
Intercept	346.77	1	346.77	2211.39	<.001
Isolate	24.15	6	4.02	25.67	<.001
treatment	95.99	9	10.67	68.02	<.001
Isolate x treatment	48.65	54	0.9	5.75	<.001
Error	263.44	1680	0.16		
Total	779	1750			
Corrected total variation	432.23	1749			
Disease 2	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	р
Corrected Model	173.61	69	2 52	16.12	< 001
Intercept	384.23	1	384.23	2462.25	<.001
Isolate	30.4	6	5.07	32.46	<.001
treatment	99.69	9	11.08	70.98	<.001
lsolate x treatment	43.52	54	0.81	5.17	<.001
Error	262.16	1680	0.16		
Total	820	1750			
Corrected total variation	435.77	1749			
Plant height	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	р
Corrected Model	5684 23	130	10.89	28 11	< 001
Intercent	26623 37	1	26623 37	18515 64	< 001
Isolate	83.23	6	13.87	9.65	< 001
trootmont	1511 96	10	227.62	165 26	<.001
	4514.80	13	237.02	6.62	<.001
Isolate x treatment	1086.14	114	9.53	6.63	<.001
Error	805.22	560	1.44		
Total	33112.82	700			
Corrected total variation	6489.45	699			

Discussion

The primary strategy for the control of sunflower downy mildew involves the use of resistant cultivars harboring dominant Pl (polysaccharide lyase) genes, alongside the implementation of crop rotation and the application of selective fungicides, as outlined in studies by (Qi et al. 2016). The emergence of novel pathotypes poses a considerable challenge, undermining the effectiveness of resistance mechanisms integrated into hybrid crops within recurrent crop rotation systems. In addition to genetic resistance and crop rotation strategies, chemical control of the disease can be achieved through the utilization of phenylamide fungicides. Using fungicide seed coatings, such as metalaxyl-M (mefenoxam), and resistant sunflower varieties is currently the most effective method for preventing and reducing damage from sunflower downy mildew (Molinero-Ruiz et al. 2008). Several additional investigations have revealed that these compounds' potency against P. halstedii has reduced (Albourie et al. 1998; Gulya 2000).

Metalaxyl is a potent inhibitor of mycelial growth and sporangial development (Farih et al. 1981), although it has a reduced efficacy in inhibiting encysted zoospore germination (Matheron and Porchas 2000). Molinero-Ruiz et al. (2008) reported a decrease on the effectiveness of two distinct active components (Metalaxyl and Metalaxyl-M) for controlling downy mildew in sunflowers is due to the ability of the novel strain to readily overcome P. halstedii resistance. Pánek et al. (2022) indicated that the metalaxyl not limiting the pathogen penetration into a host plant but effectively reducing mycelial development. These findings are also consistent with our findings, which reveal that mefenoxam cannot restrict sporangia germination in vivo. Moreover, it is unable to prevent pathogen penetration into plant tissue and the development of further symptoms. The amount of infection varies according to the aggressiveness or resistance of the isolate, which may impact or reduce the efficiency of the chemical fungicide, resulting in fungicide tolerance or resistance.

In plants, abiotic as well as biotic stimuli can elicit SAR (Sticher et al. 1997). BTH (benzo (1,2,3)-thiadiazole-

7-carbothioic acid S-methyl ester) activates the plant's defense system but has little antifungal activity (Körösi et al. 2009). Its active component is benzothiadiazole (BTH: benzo (1, 2, 3) thiadiazole -7-carbothioic acid Smethylester), a SA counterpart with a similar structure and method of action (Ryals et al. 1996). BTH, ASM, plant extracts and cell wall fragments can be employed to develop plant resistance. Certain circumstances may induce the plant defense system locally or systemically in response to pathogen invasion (Walters and Fountaine 2009; Walters et al. 2013). In Hungary, the first commercial plant activator was Bion 50 WG, Plant-induced resistance has long been studied. Benzothiadiazole (BION 50 WP), a chemical plant resistance activator, resists wheat powdery mildew (Sticher et al. 1997). Several researches in Italy and Hungary found benzothiadiazole effectively established sunflower Downy mildew resistance in field and greenhouse environments (Bán et al. 2004; Körösi et al. 2011; Tosi et al. 1998).

Neem extracts and products have demonstrated multimodal activity against phytopathogens, beginning with direct growth suppression, inhibiting pathogen establishment and subsequent development on the host plant, and inducing SAR in the pathogens (Goel et al. 2016). Our findings are comparable with those of Doshi et al. (2020). that the maximal concentration of Neem Azal was more effective than the aqueous solution of neem leaf extract in decreasing the infection rate in P. halstedii race 704-infected sunflowers. Neem components have been demonstrated to suppress many fungal infections, including pea-powdery mildew (Schmutterer 1988). Azadirachta indica and Reynoutria sachalineusis aqueous leaf extracts induced cucumber powdery mildew and leaf stripe disease resistance in barley (Daayf et al. 1995; Paul and Sharma 2002). Primarily, Neem Azal extract inhibited pathogenic growth. In pea leaves, Neem Azal reduces germ tubs, haustoria, branches, and colonization to prevent disease growth. Hypersensitivity response (HR) from Neem Azal induces protein accumulation in intercellular fluids (Singh and Prithiviraj 1997). By biochemical changes in the host plant, an aqueous leaf extract of A. indica developed resistance in barley against the stripe disease Drechslera graminea. The treated leaves displayed considerably increased activity of the enzymes phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and tyrosine ammonia lyase (TAL), as well as a quick and distinct buildup of fungitoxic phenolic compounds, indicating that soil-borne pathogenic fungal development was effectively inhibited (Paul and Sharma 2002).

The current study also determined the effectiveness of the tested biotic agent *T. asperellum* in inducing resistance against downy mildew in sunflower by seed treatment. Thus, the recent study's findings combine knowledge of the application of abiotic agents for both seedling quality improvement and disease management in a plant-pathogen system in sunflower. Using PGPF (T. asperelluim) as seed treatment could be a valuable component of integrated disease control. Apart from their anti-pathogen properties, these fungi are also effective growth boosters, an added benefit for any practical agricultural system. Plant disease control has advanced to new heights in the past several decades. Because of their eco-friendliness, several special crop protection measures have been developed. Plant growth-promoting fungi (PGPF) reinforced the plant cell wall and altered host physiology and metabolism to enhance plant defense chemical production in response to pathogen and abiotic stress (Nowak and Shulaev 2003). Our results agree with Nagaraju et al. (2012), who demonstrated that it was clearly shown that seed treatments with conidial suspension and T. harzianum PGPFYCM-14 and PGPFYCM-2 formulations decreased the incidence of sunflower downy mildew disease and afforded moderate to good disease protection and increased the vegetative production for sunflower seedlings under greenhouse and field settings.

According to Cordo et al. (2007), *T. harzianum* induces a biochemical systemic response that protects wheat plants from the *Septoria tritici* causing leaf blotch. All these studies lend credence to the current findings that PGPF: *T. asperellum* could promote growth and induce resistance to sunflower downy mildew disease. These fungi play essential roles as biocontrol agents. However, strains vary significantly in their ability to colonize roots (i.e. to be rhizosphere competent) (Ahmad and Baker 1987), with the most effective strains growing roots and providing advantages for at least the life of annual crops (Matheron and Porchas 2000).

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of chemical inducer BTH, botanical pesticide azadirachtin, and seed treatment with T. asperellum against seven diverse isolates of sunflower downy mildew. Our findings suggest that natural materials like plant extracts (AZA) and biocontrol agents (T. asperellum) hold promise as effective alternatives to chemical treatments for sunflower downy mildew control. In vivo investigations further affirm the high effectiveness of T. asperellum against different P. halstedii pathotype responsible for sunflower downy mildew. Notably, the highest dose of BTH (80 ppm) and the highest dose of AZA (0.2%) were found to be effective in reduce the disease rate. It's worth noting that the response to chemical, botanical, and biological inducers, as well as the disease severity, exhibited variations among the different pathogen isolates.

Acknowledgment

The first and second authors extend their heartfelt gratitude to the Government of Hungary's Tempus Public Foundation for the generous support provided through the *Stipendium Hungaricum* Scholarship. The scholarships, with Project Reference Numbers SHE-19332-002/2018 and SHE-07672-004/2019, have played a pivotal role in facilitating our PhD journeys, enabling us to pursue academic excellence and contribute to our respective fields. We would also like to express our sincere appreciation to Dr. Wajid Umar for his invaluable assistance in statistical analysis and for his expertise in creating graphical representations using the R programming language.

References

- Adusei, S, Azupio S (2022) Neem: A novel biocide for pest and disease control of plants. J Chem 2022:6778554.
- Ahmad JS, Baker R (1987) Competitive saprophytic ability and cellulolytic activity of rhizosphere-competent mutants of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Phytopathology 77(2):358-362.
- Albourie J-M, Tourvieille J, Tourvieille de Labrouhe D (1998) Resistance to metalaxyl in isolates of the sunflower pathogen *Plasmopara halstedii*. Eur J Plant Pathol 104(3):235-242.
- Arribas JI (2014) Sunflowers: Growth and Development, Environmental Influences and Pests/Diseases. Nova Science Publishers. Hauppauge, NY, USA
- Bán R, Kiss J, Pálinkás Z, Körösi K (2023) Placing management of sunflower downy mildew (*Plasmopara halstedii* (Farl.) Berl. Et de Toni) under an integrated pest management (IPM) system approach: challenges and new perspectives. Agronomy 13(4):1029.
- Bán R, Kovács A, Nisha N, Pálinkás Z, Zalai M, Yousif AIA, Körösi K (2021) New and high virulent pathotypes of sunflower downy mildew (*Plasmopara halstedii*) in seven countries in Europe. J Fungi 7(7):549.
- Bán R, Virányi F, Komjáti H (2004) Benzothiadiazoleinduced resistance to *Plasmopara halstedii* (Farl.) Berl. et de Toni in sunflower. In Spencer-Phillips P, Jeger M, Eds., Advances in Downy Mildew Research - Volume 2. Developments in Plant Pathology, Vol 16. Springer, Dordrecht, 265-273.
- Barzman M, Bàrberi P, Birch ANE, Boonekamp P, Dachbrodt-Saaydeh S, Graf B, Hommel B, Jensen JE, Kiss J, Kudsk P (2015) Eight principles of integrated pest management. Agron Sustain Dev 35:1199-1215.
- Basavaraj G, Murali M, Lavanya S, Amruthesh K (2019) Seed priming with biotic agents invokes defense response and enhances plant growth in pearl millet upon infec-

tion with *Magnaporthe grisea*. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 21:101279.

- Cohen Y, Rubin AE, Galperin M (2019) Novel synergistic fungicidal mixtures of oxathiapiprolin protect sunflower seeds from downy mildew caused by *Plasmopara halstedii*. PLoS One 14(9):e0222827.
- Cohen Y, Sackston W (1973) Factors affecting infection of sunflowers by *Plasmopara halstedii*. Can J Bot 51(1):15-22.
- Cordo CA, Monaco CI, Segarra CI, Simon MR, Mansilla AY, Perelló AE, Kripelz NI, Bayo D, Conde RD (2007) *Trichoderma* spp. As elicitors of wheat plant defense responses against *Septoria tritici*. Biocontrol Sci Technol 17(7):687-698.
- Daayf F, Schmitt A, Belanger R (1995) The effects of plant extracts of *Reynoutria sachalinensis* on powdery mildew development and leaf physiology of long English cucumber. Plant Disease 79(6):577-580.
- Debaeke P, Mestries E, Desanlis M, Seassau C (2014) Effects of crop management on the incidence and severity of fungal diseases in sunflower. In Arribas JE, Ed., Sunflowers: Growth and Development, Environmental Influences and Pests/Diseases. Nova Science Pubs., New York, USA. pp. 201-226.
- Doshi P, Nisha N, Yousif AIA, Körösi K, Bán R, Turóczi G (2020) Preliminary investigation of effect of neemderived pesticides on *Plasmopara halstedii* pathotype 704 in sunflower under in vitro and in vivo conditions. Plants 9(4):535.
- Farih A, Tsao PH, Menge JA (1981) In vitro effects of metalaxyl on growth, sporulation, and germination of *Phytophthora parasitica* and *P. citrophthora*. Plant Dis 65:651-654.
- Goel N, Anukrati K, Paul P (2016) Anti-phytopathogenic and SAR inducing properties of Neem: A review. J Chem Pharm Sci 9(4):2547-2555.
- Gulya TJ (2000) Metalaxyl resistance in sunflower downy mildew and control through genetics and alternative fungicides. In Proceedings of the 15th International Sunflower Conference, Toulouse, June 12-16, 79-84.
- Guzmán-Guzmán P, Kumar A, de Los Santos-Villalobos S, Parra-Cota FI, Orozco-Mosqueda M del C, Fadiji AE, Hyder S, Babalola OO, Santoyo G (2023) *Trichoderma* species: Our best fungal allies in the biocontrol of plant diseases - A review. Plants 12(3):432.
- Hossain MM, Sultana F, Islam S (2017) Plant growthpromoting fungi (PGPF): Phytostimulation and induced systemic resistance. In Pratap Singh D, Bahadur Singh H, Prabha R, Eds., Plant-Microbe Interactions in Agro-Ecological Perspectives: Volume 2: Microbial Interactions and Agro-Ecological Impacts. Springer Singapore. pp. 135-191.
- Iwebor M, Antonova T, Araslanova N, Saukova S, Pitinova YV, Eliseeva K (2022) The situation in the population of the sunflower downy mildew pathogen in some regions

of the Russian Federation. Agric Sci Euro-North-East 23(1):90-97. [in Russian]

Jocić S, Cvejić S, Hladni N, Miladinović D, Miklič V (2010) Development of sunflower genotypes resistant to downy mildew. Helia 33(53):173-180.

Kamle M, Borah R, Bora H., Jaiswal AK, Singh RK, Kumar P (2020) Systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR): Role and mechanism of action against phytopathogens. In Hesham AE-L, Upadhyay RS, Sharma GD, Manoharachary C, Gupta VK, Eds., Fungal Biotechnology and Bioengineering. Springer, 457-470.

Körösi K, Bán R, Barna B, Virányi F (2011) Biochemical and molecular changes in downy mildew-infected sunflower triggered by resistance inducers. J Phytopathol 159(7-8):471-478.

Körösi K, Kovács A, Nisha N, Bóta I, Perczel M, Yousif AIA, Kiss J, Bán R (2020) New data on pathotype distribution and mefenoxam tolerance of *Plasmopara halstedii* in Hungary. Plant Protect Sci 57(1):31-37.

Körösi K, Lázár N, Virányi F (2009) Resistance to downy mildew in sunflower induced by chemical activators. Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung 44(1):1-9.

Matheron M, Porchas M (2000) Impact of azoxystrobin, dimethomorph, fluazinam, fosetyl-Al, and metalaxyl on growth, sporulation, and zoospore cyst germination of three *Phytophthora* spp. Plant Dis 84(4):454-458.

Molinero-Ruiz M, Cordón-Torres M, Martínez-Aguilar J, Melero-Vara JM, Domínguez J (2008) Resistance to metalaxyl and to metalaxyl-M in populations of *Plasmopara halstedii* causing downy mildew in sunflower. Can J Plant Pathol 30(1):97-105.

Molinero-Ruiz M, Melero-Vara JM, Gulya TJ, Dominguez J (2003) First report of resistance to metalaxyl in downy mildew of sunflower caused by *Plasmopara halstedii* in Spain. Plant Dis 87(6):749-749.

Nagaraju A, Sudisha J, Murthy SM, Ito S (2012) Seed priming with *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates enhances plant growth and induces resistance against *Plasmopara halstedii*, an incitant of sunflower downy mildew disease. Australas Plant Pathol 41:609-620.

National Sunflower Association (2023) https://www.sun-flowernsa.com/stats/world-supply/

Nawrocka J, Małolepsza U (2013) Diversity in plant systemic resistance induced by *Trichoderma*. Biol Cont 67(2):149-156.

Ngegba PM, Cui G, Khalid MZ, Zhong G (2022) Use of botanical pesticides in agriculture as an alternative to synthetic pesticides. Agriculture 12(5):600.

Nowak J, Shulaev V (2003) Priming for transplant stress resistance in in vitro propagation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 39:107-124.

Özer N, Şabudak T, Kılıç TH, Evci G, Yılmaz Mİ (2023) Evaluation of *Trichoderma harzianum* to control downy mildew disease in sunflower under field conditions based on changes in the metabolite profiles of roots. Biocontrol 68(2):191-206.

Pánek M, Ali A, Helmer Š (2022) Use of metalaxyl against some soil plant pathogens of the class Peronosporomycetes–A review and two case studies. Plant Protect Sci 58(2):92-109.

Paul P, Sharma P (2002) *Azadirachta indica* leaf extract induces resistance in barley against leaf stripe disease. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 61(1):3-13.

Qi L, Foley M, Cai X, Gulya T (2016) Genetics and mapping of a novel downy mildew resistance gene, Pl 18, introgressed from wild *Helianthus argophyllus* into cultivated sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Theor Appl Genet 129:741-752.

Ryals JA, Neuenschwander UH, Willits MG, Molina A, Steiner H-Y, Hunt MD (1996) Systemic acquired resistance. Plant Cell 8(10):1809.

Saha S, Singh D, Rangari S, Negi L, Banerjee T, Dash S, Kundu A, Dutta A, Mandal A, Patanjali N (2022) Extraction optimization of neem bioactives from neem seed kernel by ultrasonic assisted extraction and profiling by UPLC-QTOF-ESI-MS. Sust Chem Pharm 29:100747.

Schmutterer H (1988) Potential of azadirachtin-containing pesticides for integrated pest control in developing and industrialized countries. J Insect Physiol 34(7):713-719.

Sedlářová M, Pospíchalová R, Trojanová ZD, Bartůšek T, Slobodianová L, Lebeda A (2016) First report of *Plasmopara halstedii* new races 705 and 715 on sunflower from the Czech Republic - short communication. Plant Protect Sci 52(3):182-187.

Singh U, Prithiviraj B (1997) Neemazal, a product of neem (*Azadirachta indica*), induces resistance in pea (*Pisum sativum*) against *Erysiphe pisi*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 51(3):181-194.

Spoel SH, Dong X (2012) How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without specialized immune cells. Nat Rev Immunol 12(2):89-100.

Sticher L, Mauch-Mani B, Métraux J (1997) Systemic acquired resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 35(1):235-270.

Tarigan SI, Toth S, Szalai M, Kiss J, Turoczi G, Toepfer S (2022) Biological control properties of microbial plant biostimulants. A review. Biocontrol Sci Techn 32(12):1351-1371.

Tosi L, Luigetti R, Zazzerini A (1998) Induced resistance against *Plasmopara helianthi* in sunflower plants by DL-βamino-n-butyric acid. J Phytopathol 146(5-6):295-299.

Tosi L, Zazzerini A (2000) Interactions between *Plasmopara helianthi, Glomus mosseae* and two plant activators in sunflower plants. Eur J Plant Pathol 106:735-744.

Vear F (2016) Changes in sunflower breeding over the last fifty years. OCL 23(2):D202.

Walters D, Fountaine J (2009) Practical application of induced resistance to plant diseases: An appraisal of effective-

Yousif et al.

ness under field conditions. J Agric Sci 147(5):523-535.

- Walters DR, Ratsep J, Havis ND (2013) Controlling crop diseases using induced resistance: Challenges for the future. J Exp Bot 64(5):1263-1280.
- Waqas M, Khan AL, Lee I-J (2014) Bioactive chemical constituents produced by endophytes and effects on rice plant growth. J Plant Interact 9(1):478-487.
- Zin NA, Badaluddin NA (2020) Biological functions of *Trichoderma* spp.. For agriculture applications. Ann Agric Sci 65(2):168-178.
- Yassin M, Ton J, Rolfe SA, Valentine TA, Cromey M, Holden N, Newton AC (2021) The rise, fall and resurrection of chemical-induced resistance agents. Pest Manag Sci 77(9):3900-3909.

- Shukla V, Khurshid MD, Kumar B (2020) A review on phytochemistry and pharmacological activity of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem). Int J Pharm Biol Sci 10:172-180.
- Vallad GE, Goodman RM (2004) Systemic acquired resistance and induced systemic resistance in conventional agriculture. Crop Sci 44(6):1920-1934.
- Tourvieille de Labrouhe D, Serre F, Walser P, Roche S, Vear F (2008) Quantitative resistance to downy mildew (*Plasmopara halstedii*) in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). Euphytica 164(2):433-444.