SYMPOSIUM

Anthropological sketch of the prehistoric population of the Carpathian Basin

Zsuzsanna K. Zoffmann*

National Museum of Hungary, Budapest, Hungary

ABSTRACT The present review is an attempt to sketch the anthropological characteristics of the populations that inhabited the smaller or larger geographical units of the whole Carpathian Basin during the several thousand years of prehistory in a grouping according to archaeological cultures defined up to now (which are constantly modified and precised) based on taxonomic data in the technical literature and the results of my Penrose-analyses that attest to the continuity of the autochtonous populations. **Acta Biol Szeged 44(1-4):75-79 (2000)**

KEY WORDS

Carpathian Basin Penrose-analysis continuity of the autochtonous populations

The growing pace of archaeological excavations resulted a growing number of anthropological material representing the Prehistoric populations of the Carpathian Basin. Following the continuous analyses of these materials, occasional summaries of the results are also necessary. Similar summaries have been restricted so far to smaller geographical units of the Carpathian Basin (usually according to the modern political borders) and to shorter periods of Prehistory. The present summary is relevant to the populations all over the Carpathian Basin and during each period of the Prehistory based on the taxonomic analyses published in the anthropological literature and on my own analyses using the methods of Penrose's distance analysis. In the course of the latter, the combined male and female series of more than 120 Central and Eastern European and Near Eastern Neolithic, Copper Age, Bronze Age and Iron Age populations were compared with a significance limit of 0.5%.

Similarly to any other review, this one could not be but defective in every respect and can be accepted as no more than an experiment, the results and the suppositions of which are basically subjected to frequent changes with the discovery of new finds. Although the populations of many significant archaeological cultures of the Carpathian Basin had to be omitted from the analyses for lack of a sufficient number of finds (custom of cremation etc.) (as e.g. Tiszapolgár, Incrusted Pottery, Nagyrév, Hatvan, Vatya, Füzesabony and Vatin cultures, the Illyrians etc.), the Penrose contacts of the existing series are enough to offer an idea about certain groups that may help to delineate the origin of some populations/populational groups.

Accepted December 3, 1999 *Phone: 36(1)370-4679 or 36(1)369-3634 The following series could be included into the Penroseanalysis (traditional dating):

1. Neolithic (5000-2500 BC): Lepenski Vir, Körös + Cris and Starcevo cultures, Alföld Linear Pottery Culture and Central European Linear Pottery Culture (in the followings: LVL, K (S) C, ALP, CELP), series of the Lengyel culture, Tisza and Vinca cultures;

2. Copper Age (2500-1900 BC): Bodrogkeresztúr and Baden + Kostolac + Cotofeni cultures (in the followings BDG, BKC);

3. Bronze Age (1900-800 BC): Hurbanovo, Gáta-Wieselburg cultures, series of the Maros-Perjámos culture, and Tumulus Culture of the Hungarian Plain;

4. Iron Age (800-0 BC): Bosut and Mezőcsát cultures, Scythians, Celts in Slovakia and in Transdanubia.

Results can be summed up as follows:

The Lepenski Vir series has significant Penrose contacts only with the series of the Ukrainian Neolithic Dniepro-Doniets culture. Accordingly, the Protonordic-Cro-Magnoid type bearers of the culture must have been surviving post-Gravettian populational groups of eastern origin. The Penrose data do not indicate the local survival of the population in the later periods of the Neolithic.

The Penrose contacts of the mostly Gracile Mediterranian Körös + Cris population indicate eastern contacts, the Penrose identity of their series with the late groups of the ALP suggests either the local survival of some K (S) C populational groups or perhaps the common origin of a component of the K (S) C and the ALP populations. The K (S) C has no contact with any other series in the Carpathian Basin, while the Starcevo series cannot be linked with any

Zoffmann

of the cca 120 series included in the analysis, which may either be caused by the errors of the sample (uncertain dating, finds indicating strong brachycephalisation from the Vinca site), or points to a different origin of the Starcevo population (the anthropological composition of the Neolithic population within the Western and Central Balkan is absolutely unknown).

The contacts of the Protonordic-Cro-Magnoid, later gradually gracilised ALP populations point outside the eastern Carpathians, disregarding the above mentioned Penrose contacts to the K (S) C, referring to the direction of the place of the formation of the population. In agreement with the archaeological data, the ALP population displayed no Penrose contacts with Central European or Bohemian and German populational groups of the Linear Pottery Culture, so they must have had a different origin.

There is no significant Penrose result between the series of the so-called Central European Linear Pottery population and the Western Linear Pottery population (except for the Bruchstedt series which is totally different from the former), there seems to be an identity, however, with the contemporary Bohemian Linear Pottery series. The origin of these two Central European populations of the Linear Pottery entity must have been, the only possible interpretation deduced from the Penrose data, a local predecessor unknown to us, since this is the only way we can explain their detachment from the populations of both the Alföld and the Western Linear Pottery cultures.

Each of the populational groups of the later Neolithic cultures included in the analysis can be related to these two Central European Linear Pottery populational groups. All the three series representing the Southern Transdanubian lengyel population (Aszód, Mórágy-B.1 and the combined south Transdanubian series, while the Lower Austrian Lengvel series demonstrating south-eastern contacts stands apart from this block), the Tisza culture in the Hungarian Plain and the Sirmium populational group of the Vinca culture are connected with significant Penrose values and form, accordingly, an isolated, closed up block in the Carpathian Basin within the Neolithic of Central and Eastern Europe, the population of which did not mix with the neighbouring populational groups, at least it cannot be proved with Penrose values, but lived undisturbed in one place, seemingly without any significant outer influence, probably from before the Neolithic until the end of the Neolithic. Within the populational groups belonging to this autochtonous block, the robust and the gracile leptodolichomorphous varieties dominate, while the proportion of the Cro-Magnoid component is low.

There are no Penrose data to prove the survival of the autochtonous population in the Early Copper Age for lack of series with sufficient item numbers. In the Tiszapolgár population, which is considered to be the direct follower of the Neolithic Tisza culture according to archaeological finds, a taxonomically demonstrable change occurred. The increase of the proportion of the Cro-Magnoid type suggests an outer influence. Although according to archaeological data the invasion of the Pit Grave people of robust Cro-Magnoid type in the Carpathian Basin happened somewhat later, the anthropological data certainly indicate an earlier infiltration during the Early Copper Age. The mixture of the Pit Grave and the local populations is proved again by taxonomic analyses, in contradiction to archaeological suppositions (the occurrence of gracile Mediterranian type in Pit Grave burials).

In the following period, the high proportion of the Cro-Magnoid type cannot be demonstrated any more in the population of the Middle Copper Age Bodrogkeresztúr culture. The alien component, accordingly, had partly assimilated or disappeared from the region. The Penrose contacts of the series representing the Bodrogkeresztúr populational group also indicate that at least a part of the original autochtonous population survived in the region and took part in the formation of the Middle Copper Age culture in the Hungarian Plain.

According to the Penrose analysis, a new, alien population arrived in the Carpathian Basin after the Middle Copper Age, which is in harmony with the archaeological data. The Late Copper Age population, the so-called Baden population (its related cultures were the Kostolac in the South and the Cotofeni in Transylvania) had strong southern/south-eastern components according to the Penrose contacts, which is again in harmony with archaeological theories. The animal breeding populational groups flooded the whole of the Carpathian Basin, while the cultivating (archaeologically latent?) autochtonous populations seem to have survived the invasion. Namely, the series from the cemeteries of the Maros -Perjámos population that developed in the early Bronze Age and flourished during the Middle Bronze Age in the southern part of the Hungarian Plain testify with their Penrose data that, beside certain southern/south-eastern components, both the Middle Copper Age Bodrogkeresztúr population marking the continuity of the autochtonous population and the Baden population immigrating during the Late Copper Age took part in the formation of the new Bronze Age culture. In a biological sense, accordingly, the survival of the autochtonous population of the Carpathian Basin probably going back to Mesolithic roots can be demonstrated even in the Middle Bronze Age.

Contrary to the continuity of autochtonous populations in the southern part of the Hungarian Plain, new, alien populational groups appeared in the central and western parts of the Carpathian Basin. The people of the so-called Bell-beaker culture occupied a large part of Europe, while in the Carpathian Basin they lived within a limited territory for a short time and did not mix with the local population, according to archaeology. Although there are very few evaluable anthropological finds from the biritual cemeteries of the Bell-beakers in the Carpathian Basin, the appearance of the characteristic planoccipital Taurid type, unknown until then from the Carpathian Basin, in the populations of some later cultures (e.g. Kisapostag and Gáta-Wieselburg cultures) suggests a mixture with the local population against the archaeological theories. According to archaeology, the populational groups of the Bell-beakers also took part in the formation of the Gáta-Wieselburg culture on the western fringes of the Carpathian Basin, which is also attested to by the Penrose identities between the Gáta-Wieselburg and the Bell-beaker series in Moravia and Germany.

Another bronze Age series involved in the Penrose analysis from the western part of the Carpathian Basin represents the population of the Hurbanovo culture. According to the results, the population of this culture cannot be related to the autochtonous block living on the territory of the Basin, since the Penrose values link it to the lengyel population group in Lower Austria bearing southern/south-eastern traits and to the Zlota-Tripolje-Hamangia entity outside the Carpathian Basin. As the low item numbers of the series of the Chlopice-Veselé and the Nitra cultures, which are the territorial predecessors of the culture, have not allowed so far a Penrose-analysis, the Penrose results concerning the Hurbanovo populational groups need further precision.

The survival of the autochtonous elements in Transdanubia cannot be followed in the Middle and the Late Bronze Age either by taxonomy or by Penrose analysis due to the custom of cremational burial at the newly developed archaeological cultures (Incrusted Pottery, Tumulus culture, Urnfield culture). The few male skeletons in a sacrificial pit unearthed in a settlement of the Urnfield culture is an exception at the time of cremational burials. According to the taxonomic analyses the finds represent a robust Cro-Magnoid type, which was characteristic in the Carpathian Basin of the Pit Grave population during the Middle Copper Age, and which could not be observed since then in the region. The appearance in Western Transdanubia of a type characteristic of Eastern Europe in the case of men thrown into a sacrificial pit, who certainly suffered a violent death, means that the Urnfield populational group must have "buried" the slain members of some outer enemy, who, as suggested by historical and archaeological data, could have been members of the Cimmerian people who had invaded the Carpathian Basin from the east.

The populational groups of the Tumulus culture, mentioned earlier with regard to Transdanubia, diffused towards east and occupied the territories of the Hungarian Plain and north of it where, as demonstrated by archaeological research, they mixed with the local population and soon created local archaeological groups. The custom of cremational burial of the populations in the northern regions hinders an anthropological analysis, while the large item numbers in the inhumation cemeteries in the southern part of the Hungarian Plain allow even a Penrose analysis. The results of this analysis correspond to those of archaeology. The significant Penrose identities between the Tumulus people in the southern part of the Hungarian Plain and the Maros-Perjámos populational group, their territorial predecessors, connect the Urnfield people using these cemeteries to the surviving block of the autochtonous elements. A further evidence of this survival is, to some degree, the Penrose contact of the series representing the Early Iron Age Bosut culture in Sirmium (among other contacts) to the Maros populational group. The local predecessors of this southern population are anthropologically unknown due to the custom of cremational burial at the Vatin, the Dubovac-Zutobrdo and the Belegis cultures.

There are no sufficient series from the Early Iron Age of the western part of the Carpathian Basin for a Penrose analysis, so the observation of the autochtonous elements in this region was inevitably broken. In the eastern part of the Carpathian Basin, the bearers of the new so-called Mezőcsát culture at the beginning of the Early Iron Age were composed, according to archaeological data, of a population arriving from the east, which might be identified with the Sigynnas known from written records. The Penrose contacts of their anthropological series demonstrate a double feature: significant identities could be found with the western European Hallstatt on the one hand, and with the Greek Iron Age populational groups through the Bessarabian Scythians on the other hand. This latter may indicate the origin of the dominantly Mediterranian type Mezőcsát population. The links of the Scythians following them in the Carpathian Basin are exclusively eastern or south-eastern ones. An identity can be found again with the Greek Iron Age populational groups, although the Penrose contacts to the Scythian period populational groups in the Black Sea region attest to an origin of the population in the Carpathian Basin different from the earlier Bessarabian one. The Scythian find material in our region is taxonomically heterogeneous, still the gracile Mediterranian type can be said to be dominant. The Mezőcsát and Scythian populations immigrating from the east did not really mix with the local autochtonous population according to the analysis.

In the closing phase of Prehistory, during the Late Iron Age, a new population arrived in the region. The anthropological material of the Celts, who occupied a large part of Europe, is known from the Carpathian Basin only from the present Slovakia and Transdanubia, the few finds from the Hungarian Plain and the southern territories could not be included in the Penrose analysis. There is no Penrose identity between the Celtic series in Slovakia and in Transdanubia, and there are differences between their links as well, namely southern, south-eastern contacts can also be detected in the case of the Transdanubian material. It seems, however, much more important, that a Penrose identity appeared at both

Zoffmann

series with the Bronze Age Maros-Perjámos populational groups in the Carpathian Basin, which, in turn are related to the local Copper Age people and through them to the local Neolithic autochtonous population. It can be supposed, accordingly, that the Celts significantly mixed with the local populational groups (the Nordic, Mediterranian and Cro-Magnoid types must have characterised the autochtonous populations, the Alpine and the Taurid were the features of the Celts), and passing over their culture, they themselves became gradually assimilated. In consequence it can also be supposed, that the population that, following the Celtic period, saw the Sarmatians in the eastern part of the Carpathian Basin and the Roman occupation in the western part was composed of an autochtonous population that went back to the Neolithic (or the Mesolithic) in the western part of the Carpathian Basin. Reviewing the above analytical results it seems that the closed geographical unit of the Carpathian Basin was suitable for the survival of the autochtonous population from the Neolithic or even an earlier period against the repeated ethnic infiltrations or immigrations from the different cardinal directions (according to the anthropological data they can be attached to the Körös-Starcevo-Cris in the Neolithic, the Pit Grave and the Baden peoples in the Copper Age, the Bell-beakers and the Urnfield populational groups in the Bronze Age and finally the eastern Mezőcsát and Scythian populations, and also the western Celts in the Iron Age). Finally, at the end of Prehistory, this population, the bearers of the Celtic period culture at the beginning of the Roman occupation constituted the majority of the Panonian autochtonous population after a long biological continuity.

Reference

- Ambros C (1971) Ein Beitrag zur Frage der Anthropophagie in den bronzezeitlichen Siedlungen der Slowakei. Acta Fac Rer natur Univ Comen - Anthropologia 17:1-14.
- Bartucz (1938) A magyar ember. A magyarság antropológiája. Budapest. Bándi G, Nemeskéri J (1971) Das bronzezeitliche Brandgräberfeld von Környe-Fácánkert. Alba Regia 11:7-34.
- Bottyán O (1943) Szkítakori temetők embertani vizsgálata. (Anthropologischen Untersuchungen einiger Gräberfelder aus der skythischen Zeit). Acta Sc Math et Nat Kolozsvár 15.
- Dezső Gy (1966) A population of the Scythian Period between the Danube and the Tisza. Anthrop Hung 7:35-83.
- EhgartnerR W (1959) Die Schädel aus dem frühbronzezeitlichen Gräberfeld von Hainburg. MAGW 88-89:8-90.
- Éry K (1991) Embertani vizsgálatok Heves megye Kr.e.VIII. századi népességén. (Die anthropologische Untersuchung der aus dem 8. Jh.v. Ch. stammende Bevölkerung des Komitates Heves). Agria 25-26:119-158.
- Farkas Gy (1971a) Anthropologische Funde von der Woiwodina. MFMÉ 1971:209-212.
- Farkas Gy (1971b) Anthropological finds of the Bronze-Age cemetery of Pitvaros. Acta Antiqua et Archaeologica, Szeged 14:49-62.
- Farkas Gy (1975) A Dél-Alföld őskorának paleoantropológiája. Cand diss Szeged.
- Farkas Gy (1977) Anthropological outlines of the Praehistory of the Southern part of the Great Hungarian Plain and of Northern Jugoslavia. Acta Biol, Szeged, 23:139-167.

- Farkas Gy, Lipták P (1971) A Tápé mellett feltárt késő bronzkori temető antropológiai értékelése. (Die anthropologische Auswertung des spätbronzezeitlichen Gräberfeldes bei Tápé). Anthrop Közl 15:3-18.
- Farkas Gy (1972) Antropolosko istrazivanje nekropole u Mokrinu iz ranog bronzanog doba. Physical anthropological examination of a cemetery in Mokrin from the Early Bronze Age. In Giric M, ed., Mokrin, nekropola ranog bronzanog doba. Dissertationes et Monographie 11:239-271.
- Farkas Gy, Marcsik A (1976) Das Sammelgrab von Gomolava (Jugoslawien) aus der Urzeit. Anthropologie 14:93-99.
- Guba ZS, Szathmáry L, Almási L (1997) Craniology of Neolithic in Hungary. Proceedings of the 8th Tartu Int Anthrop Conference,Oct. 12-16, Papers on Anthropology, Tartu 7:90-104.
- Hanaková H, Stloukal M, Tocika A (1973) Pohrebiste ze starsi doby bronzové v Bajci. (Das Gräberfeld aus der älteren Bronzezeit in Bajc).
 Èasopis Narodniho Muzea, prirod odd 142:58-88.
- Jelinek J (1973) Die neolithische und bronzezeitliche Besiedlung der heutigen Tschechoslowakei. Fundamenta B/3 VIIIa, 1:186-199.
- Jelinek J (1975) Middleneolithic anthropological finds from sturovo, South Slovakia. Anthropologie 13: 183-192.
- Jelinek J (1978) Die Rassengeschichte der Tschechoslowakei. In Schwidrtzky I, ed., Rassengeschichte der Menschheit. Lfg 5, Europa 3:35-53.
- Jerem E (1968) The Late Iron Age cemetery of Szentlőrinc. Acta Arch Hung 20:159-208.
- Jungwirth J (1977) Die Bevölkerung Österreichs im Neolithikum. Festschrift 75 Jahre Anthrop Staatssammlung München, 233-256.
- Kiszely I (1979) Rassengeschichte von Ungarn. in: Schwidrtzky I, ed., Rassengeschichte der Menschheit. Lfg 6, Europa 4:9-49.
- Kutzián I (1963): The Copper Age cemetery of Tiszapolgár-Basatanya. Arch Hung 42.
- Lengyel I (1975) Palaeoserology. Blood typing with the fluorescent antibody method. Budapest.
- Marcsik A (1979) The anthropological material of the Pit-Grave Kurgans in Hungary. In Ecsedy I, ed., The people of the Pit-Grave Kurgans in Easterrn Hungary. Fontes Arch Hung, Budapest, 87-98.
- Necrasovo O (1965) Date antropologice noi asupra populatiei culturii neolitice Starcevo-Cris. St cerc antropol 1:9-17.
- Necrasovo O (1979) Structure anthropologique des populations anciennes et récentes de la R.S.Roumanie. In Schwidrtzky I, ed., Rassengeschichte der Menschheit. Lfg 6, Europa 4:51-96.
- Necrasovo O (1986) Sur la structure anthropologique de quelques populations Néolithiques et Enéolithiques de Roumanie. Anthropologie 24:189-196.
- Necrasovo O, Cristescu M (1973) Structure anthropologique des tribus Néo-Enéolithiques et de l'age du Bronze de la Roumanie. Fundamenta B/3 VIIIa l:137-152.
- Necrasovo O, Russu IG, Cristescu M (1966) Date noi asupra structurii antropologice a triburilor culturii Otomani /vîrsta bronzului/. St cerc antropol 3:7-16.
- Nemeskéri J (1951) Anthropologische Untersuchung der Skelettfunde von Alsónémedi. Acta Arch Hung 1:55-72.
- Nemeskéri (1956) Anthropologische Übersicht des Volkes der Péceler Kultur. in: BANNER J: Péceler Kultur. Arch Hung 35:295-314.
- Nemeskéri (1961) Die wichtigsten anthropologischen Fragen der Urgeschichte in Ungarn. Anthrop Közl 5:39-47.
- Nemeskéri, Deák M (1954) A magyarországi kelták embertani vizsgálata. Analyse anthropologique des Celtes de la Hongrie. Biol Közl 2:133-158.
- Nemeskéri, Szathmáry L (1978) Taxonomical structure of the Vlasac Mesolithic subpopulation. in Srejovic D, Letica Z: Vlasac. A Mesolithic settlement in the Iron Gates. Beograd. 2:177-229.
- Nicolaescu-Plopsor D, Wplski W (1975) Elemente de demografie si ritual funerar la populatiile vechi din Romania. (Elements of demography and funeral ritual of the old populations in Romania. Bibliotheca

Prehistoric population of the Carpathian Basin

anthropologica et ethnologica 1, Bucuresti.

- Pap I (1989) People and environment in the Neolithic age in Hungary. In Hershkovitz I, ed., People and culture in change. BAR 508:385-395.
- Schwidetzky I (1967) Vergleichend-statistische Untersuchungen zur Anthropologie des Neolithikums. Homo 18.
- Schwidetzky (1971-72) Menschliche Skelettreste von Vinca. GADJ 8-9: 101-112.
- Schwidetzky (1972) Vergleichend-statistische Untersuchungen zur Anthropologie der Eisenzeit (letztes Jahrtausend v.d.Z.). Homo 23:245-272.
- Schwidetzky, Rösing FW (1989) Vergleichend-statistische Untersuchungen zur Anthropologie von Neolithikum und Bronzezeit. Homo 40:4-45.
- Strouhal E (1967) Das anthropologische Material des Gr\u00e4berfeldes aus dem Ubergang des \u00e4neolithikums und der Bronzezeit in Iv\u00e4nka/Donau in der S\u00fcdwestslowakei. Acta FRN Univ Comen. Anthropologia 12:7-54.
- Strouhal E (1978) Demography of the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Vycapy-Opatovce (Southwest Slovakia). Anthropologie 16:131-135.
- Stuchliková J, Stuchlik S, Stloukal M (1985) Ein Veterov-Massenbegräbnis aus Velké Pavlovice. Anthropologie 23:51-68.
- Szalai F (1995) A Csanytelek-Palén feltárt középső bronzkori csontvázleletek antropológiai vizsgálata. (Anthropological examination of the skeletons and cremated remains from the Middle Bronze Age excavated at the findspot Csanytelek-Palé, Southeast Hungary). MFMÉ Studia Archaeologica 1:91-121.
- Szathmáry L (1980) Autochtonous and immigrated components in the Carpathian Basin Copper Age. Indo-European Studies 8:231-244.
- Szathmáry (1981) The skeletal history of the Neolithic in the Carpathian Basin. DMÉ 1981:51-66.
- Szathmáry (1985) Faktoranalízis a kora neolitkori Körös-Cris-Starcevo civilizáció koponyaleletein. DMÉ 1985:17-33.
- Szathmáry (1986) Mezolit és korai neolit kori népességek rekon-strukciója csontvázleleteik alapján az Észak-Balkánon és a Kárpát-medencében. Cand diss, Debrecen.
- Szathmáry (1987) Anthropologischer Abriss der Bronzezeit der Grossen Ungarischen Tiefebene. DMÉ 1987:55-67.
- Thurzo M (1978) A survey of anthropological researches of the Bronze Age realized in Slovakia. Anthropologie 16:123-126.
- Tóth T (1977): Somatologija, paleoantropologija naseljenija Vengrii. I-II. Doct.diss., Moszkva.
- Vlèek E (1957) Antropologia Keltov na juhozápadnom Slovensku. Anthropologie der Kelten in der Südwestslowakei. In Benadik B, Vlèek E, Ambros C, eds., Keltské pohrebiská na juhozápadnom Slovensku. Keltische Gräberfelder der Südwestslowakei. Archaeologica Slovaca Fontes 1:204-289.
- Vlèek E (1961) Die Kelten der Südwestslowakei im mitteleuropäischen Rahmen. Anthrop Közl 5:63-68.
- Vlček E, Kukla J (1959) Halstatské kultovni masky z lidskych lebek z Hraskovy jaskyne (Kilenc-fa) v Jihoslovenském Krasu. Pam archeol 50:507-556.
- Zoffmann ZS (1980) Eine Übersicht über das anthropologische Material des neolithischen und kupferzeitlichen Kulturen im Karpathenbecken. Alba Regia 19:9-29.
- Zoffmann ZS (1983) Prehistorical skeletal remains from Lepenski Vir /Iron Gate, Yugoslavia/. Homo 34:129-148.

- Zoffmann ZS (1984a) A Kárpát-medence neolitikus és rézkori embertani leleteinek főbb metrikus és morfológiai jellemzői. (Main metric and taxonomic data of the anthropological finds dating from the Neolithic and Copper Ages in the Carpathian Basin). Anthrop Közl 28:79-90.
- Zoffmann ZS (1984b) An attempt to use physical anthropological data in the study of the southeastern connections of Central European Neolithic populations. Alba Regia 21:139-146.
- Zoffmann ZS (1986) Anthropological connections of the Lengyel Culture according to a generalized distance analysis. Int Praehist Konf, Szekszárd, 1985. A Béri Balogh Ádám Múz Évk 13:171-179.
- Zoffmann ZS (1986-87) Das anthropologische Material des spätneolithischen Gräberfeldes von Hrtkovci-Gomolava. RVM 30: 43-69.
- Zoffmann ZS (1987-88) A Badeni kultúra embertani leleteinek vizsgálata a Penrose-féle analizis segitségével. (Investigation of the Baden Culture with the help of the Penrose analysis). Anthrop Közl 31:121-137.
- Zoffmann ZS (1988a) Anthropologischer Übersicht der neolithischen Bevölkerung der jugoslawischen Donaugegend. In Tasic N, Petrovic J, ed., Gomolava-Chronologie und Stratigraphie der vorgeschichtlichen und antiken Kulturen der Donauniederung und Südosteuropas. Int Symp, Ruma, 1986. Ser Gomolava, Novi Sad. 1:93-98.
- Zoffmann ZS (1991) A Bodrogkeresztúri kultúra népességének embertani vizsgálata /újabb leletek Pest megyéből/. (Anthropologische Auswertung der Bevölkerung der Bodrogkeresztúr-Kultur /Neuere Funde aus dem Komitat Pest/). Studia Comitatensia 21:131-148.
- Zoffmann ZS (1992) Kelet Kárpát-medence neolitikus és rézkori népességeinek embertani vázlata. Cand diss, Budapest.
- Zoffmann ZS (1994a) A kelet Kárpát-medence neolitikus és rézkori népességeinek embertani vázlata. (Anthropological survey of Neolithic and Copper Age populations from the East Carpathian basin). Anthrop Közl 36:79-84.
- Zoffmann ZS (1994b) Kárpát-medence bronzkori embertani leleteinek taxonómiai és metrikus jellemzői. (Main metric and taxonomic data of the anthropological finds dating from the Bronze Ages in the Carpathian Basin). Anthrop Közl 36:39-50.
- Zoffmann ZS (1995) A Kárpát-medence vaskori embertani leleteinek főbb taxonómiai és metrikus jellemzői. Main metric and taxonomic data of the anthropological finds dating from the Iron Age in the Carpathian Basin. Anthrop Közl 37:65-71.
- Zoffmann ZS (1997a) A contribution to the question of the biological continuity of the Prehistoric populations in the Eastern parts of the Carpathian Basin. (Penrose analysis of anthropological series from cemeteries of the Maros-Perjámos culture). Acta Biol, Szeged 42:157-162.
- Zoffmann ZS (1997b) Anthropologische Charakteristik der Bevölkerung der früheisenzeitlichen Bosut-Kultur aufgrund des Kollektivgrabes von Gomolava. Balcanica, in press.
- Zoffmann ZS (1998-99) Anthropological data of the Transdanubian Prehistoric populations living in the Neolithic, Copper, Bronze and the Iron ages. Savaria 24/3: 33-49.
- Zoffmann ZS (ms) Kárpát-medence bronzkori és vaskori népességeinek embertani vázlata. Manuscript.